Attorney Docket No.: Q101075

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/561,483

REMARKS

Claims 1 is the only claim pending in the application.

A substitute specification is submitted herewith to correct various mistranslations. No new matter is presented.

I. Priority

The Examiner indicates that the certified priority documents are not of record in the file.

Copies of the certified priority document and a sworn English thereof are being submitted herewith.

II. Response to Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double-patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of co-pending App. Ser. No. 11/822,941.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection as being improper.

The '941 application is a divisional of the present application filed as a result of a restriction requirement in the present application. See the Action dated May 2, 2007. Therefore, it is improper for the Examiner to make an obviousness-type double patenting rejection based on the claims of the '941 application. Specifically 35 U.S.C. §121 states:

A patent issuing on an application with respect to which a requirement for restriction under this section has been made, or an application filed as a result of such a requirement, shall not be used as a reference either in the Patent and Trademark Office or in the courts against a divisional application or against the original application or any patent issued on either of them, if the divisional application is filed before the issuance of the patent on the other application.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q101075

Application No.: 10/561,483

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the

rejection.

III. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 36,787

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 13, 2007

4